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# Problem Statement

A banking company wants to come up with a model to predict house prices. Using the predictions, they want to assess the risk of lending to a particular borrower. Generally, financial companies offer loans based on collateral. The process usually takes around 7-10 days to give an estimate on the house price. To speed up the process, I was hired by the company to come up with a model to predict the sale prices.

The data is compressed of all the residential homes in Ames, Iowa. It has 79 exploratory variables and the sale prices(Target variable) of the houses.

## Understanding the data(EDA)

We’ll look at each variable and do an exploratory data analysis and identify their meaning and importance for this problem.

Now let’s look at our target column- Sales price.
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From the distribution plot, we can see that the graph is not normally distributed. It also shows skewness and peakedness in the data. Now we can directly look at the correlation matrix and see the correlated variables.
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There is a sign of multicollinearity in the data. For instance, All the GarageX variables are strongly correlated with each other. We’ll remove the variables and keep those that have higher correlation with sale price.

Overall, We can say that-

* 'OverallQual', 'GrLivArea' and 'TotalBsmtSF' are strongly correlated with 'SalePrice'.
* ‘YearBuilt’ is slightly correlated with ‘SalePrice’
* ‘TotalBsmtSF’ and ‘1stFloor’ seems to be correlated with each other so we’ll keep ‘TotalBmstSF’
* We can remove all the variables that shows multicollinearity

## Handling missing data

We will remove the skewness of our target feature and numerical feature if exists. Next, we’ll handle the missing data in both numerical and categorical features and perform feature engineering.

Reducing skewness for numerical features

data\_num\_skew = data\_num.apply(lambda x: skew(x.dropna()))

data\_num\_skew = data\_num\_skew[data\_num\_skew > .75]

# apply log + 1 transformation for all numeric features with skewnes over .75

data\_num[data\_num\_skew.index] = np.log1p(data\_num[data\_num\_skew.index])

Handling missing values in both categorical and numerical features

I’ve assumed that if there are more than 50 missing values in a column, we’ll drop the feature. If there are less than 50 missing values, we’ll delete the observation from the data and keep the feature.

# drop column if there is more than 50 missing values

if missing\_values > 50:

print("droping column: {}".format(col))

data\_cat.drop(col, axis = 1)

Applying log transformation for the target variable.

target = train['SalePrice']

target\_log = np.log1p(train['SalePrice'])

## Modeling

I’m not splitting the data for train and test from the train data set. I decided to use all the training data for training the model and as per the Kaggle evaluations, I’m using the Root Mean Squared Error(RMSE) of the logarithm of predicted values and the logarithm of the observed sale price.

# remove Id and target variable

X\_train = train[train.columns.values[1:-1]]

y\_train = train[train.columns.values[-1]]

# remove Id

X\_test = test[test.columns.values[1:]]

Let’s start with a simple linear regression model and move on to regularized models(Ridge and Lasso penalty).

# Linear Regression

model\_linear=LinearRegression()

model\_linear.fit(X\_train,y\_train)

y\_test\_pred\_log = model\_linear.predict(X\_test)

# Ridge Regression

Model\_ridge=Ridge()

alphas = [0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 30, 50, 75]

cv\_ridge = [rmse\_cv(Ridge(alpha = alpha)).mean() for alpha in alphas]

# Lasso Regression

model\_lasso = LassoCV(alphas = [1, 0.1, 0.001, 0.0005]).fit(X\_train, y\_train)

For both the ridge and lasso regression, finding the best alpha value is important. We can build the models for all the alpha values as we did with ridge regression and plot a graph, or we can use grid search CV as we did with LassoCV and let it choose the best alpha value.

**Choosing the right alpha for ridge Comparison of the three models**
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Random Forest

As we know, Random Forest in an ensemble learning method where it combines the predictions of multiple individual models to make the most accurate prediction. the final prediction is made by averaging the predictions of all the trees. It is relatively resistant to overfitting, which is good for making predictions
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Boosting (XGBoost and Gradient Boost)

Boosting involves training a series of weak models in sequence, with each model trying to correct the errors made by the previous model. The final prediction is made by combining the predictions of all the individual models. Let’s look at the gradient boosting and XGboosting models.

Tuning the models

param\_grid = {'max\_depth': [2, 4],

'learning\_rate': [0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3],

'min\_child\_weight': range(1, 10, 2),

'n\_estimators': range(50, 300, 50),

'objective': ['reg:linear']}

grid\_search = GridSearchCV(model, param\_grid, n\_jobs=-1, cv=kfold, verbose=1, scoring=scorer)

grid\_result = grid\_search.fit(X\_train, y\_train)

print("Best: %f using %s" % (grid\_result.best\_score\_, grid\_result.best\_params\_))

We tune the models using grid search CV to find the best parameters for building the model. In the above code snippet, We provide the parameters such as max\_depth and learning\_rate, and so on. GridSearchCV will fit all the possible combinations of parameters and find the best possible one.

Building a Neural Network

I built a neural network with 3 layers(Input layer, Hidden layer and Output layer). The activation function for the input and hidden layer is ‘relu’ and sigmoid function for the output layer.

def build\_model():

model = Sequential()

#Input layer

model.add(Dense(20, input\_dim=288, activation='relu'))

#Hidden layer

model.add(Dense(40, activation='relu'))

#Output layer

model.add(Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))

# Compile model

model.compile(loss='binary\_crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=['accuracy'])

return model

Conclusion

Random forest and XGBoost perform the best out of all the models that were compared, it could indicate that these models are well-suited to the task and the data that they were applied to. It could suggest that the flexibility of these models is higher compared to the other models.
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